

Ten Absolute Truths About the 2020 Election and Election Fraud That Every American Should Understand

by Kevin Freeman

Jan 22, 2021



Writing “10 Absolute Truths” on any subject could be considered bold, overly ambitious, or just downright arrogant. That becomes even more a consideration when writing on a controversial subject such as election fraud. Nevertheless, there are some important lessons from history, including the most recent election, that coalesce into powerful truths that we will have to face sooner or later, whether pleasant or not.

Truth #1: Election Fraud is real. It’s not new. But it has gotten more sinister and sophisticated.

The fact is that election fraud is a part of the human experience and has been well documented. It’s not a “conspiracy theory.” There are numerous examples of documentation, including court convictions and deathbed confessions. You can see a lot of this at a fabulous Heritage Foundation website, [A Sampling of Recent Election Fraud Cases from Across the United States](#).

American history is replete with colorful examples. One of those involves the “[Battle of Athens](#),” when a group of GIs returned to their small town in Tennessee after World War II only to discover that while they were fighting for America, a mob from Memphis took over the town they loved.

One of these war heroes responded by running for mayor but later learned the election was rigged. The GIs then broke open the town armory, blew up the jail, and forced an open counting of ballots with the whole town watching. They proved the fraud in the election and jailed the cheaters. It’s a great American story.

Another example is the story of “Landslide Lyndon,” our 36th President. There’s no question that Lyndon Johnson cheated to win a close election in his first Senate race in 1948. Robert A. Caro documented this with the equivalent of deathbed confessions in the second of his massive five-volume biography of Johnson, [The Years of Lyndon Johnson: Means of Ascent](#). In 1990, Dan Balz [wrote](#) that Johnson “didn’t just steal the election but pulled off a heist of historic proportions, far greater than anyone has recognized until now.”⁴ *New York Times* writer Martin Tolchin [reached](#) a similar conclusion in a book review titled “How Johnson Won Election He’d Lost.”

In addition, there are many instances of foreign election fraud where dictators such as Kim Jong Un, Joseph Stalin, Hugo Chavez, and Nicolas Maduro won obviously fraudulent elections with massive totals and an unreasonable percentage of the vote.

These are all very real facts from history. Since human nature has not changed, there is no reason to believe that election fraud has disappeared. Quite the contrary. Fraud has upped its game with modern technology, as explained below.

Truth #2: The election stakes are higher than ever before.

It’s cliché to say that “this is the most important election of our lifetimes” —but also true, because the stakes are ever increasing. We are looking at federal spending of nearly \$8 trillion in 2020 with an “actual” budget deficit exceeding \$4 trillion and total federal taxes well north of \$3 trillion. Obviously, the COVID-19 pandemic is a big culprit. But how that money is raised and spent will have a lasting impact on our lives now and well into the future. Clearly, spending happens regardless of who occupies the White House, but the ante has just been upped—by a lot. That’s why so much money was [spent](#) in the 2020 elections—almost \$14 billion on the presidential and congressional races.

Beyond the money, America is a more divided nation than any point, perhaps, since the Civil War. This has worsened during the pandemic. There are major debates over policies such as mandated shutdowns, declarations of what is an essential business (and what isn’t), and mask requirements that have direct, personal, and long-lasting impacts on our finances and our very way of life.

In addition to the hopefully short-term pandemic challenge, we also face a set of radical proposals that would permanently alter America if enacted. On the electoral front, we have heard loud voices within one party to form new states from the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico with the primary purpose of adding new Senators from their party to tip the Senate permanently in their favor. In addition, the leadership of that same party has demanded immigration changes that appear designed to provide a permanent popular majority for their party through more open borders, amnesty, and fast tracks to citizenship.

Many of the same group also support abolishing the Electoral College in favor of a national popular vote. Of course, such a move would remake the electoral landscape completely. Election fraud in a single state might be sufficient to decide an election. And lest you think that the Supreme Court might rein in such ambitions, this same group has advocated a scheme called “court packing,” where the new President would add Justices to the court to guarantee a majority ruling whenever required. President Franklin Roosevelt [attempted](#) to do this in 1937 but failed.

Finally, there are major foreign policy debates on the role America should play in the world, how we should react to China, and how we protect our nation. The differences over these issues are stark and will have a huge impact on our national security in the not-too-distant future.

The Top 10 Proofs of Fraud

Fraud is real. Fraud happens every day. In elections, fraud is a common issue. Regardless of what Twitter and Facebook say, fraud has happened in many elections in the US. Here are some of the top 10 ways that fraud can happen in an election:

- 1 Stopping the Count
- 2 Mail-in Ballots
- 3 No Witnesses Allowed
- 4 Too Many Voters
- 5 Changing the Vote
- 6 Imbalanced Votes
- 7 Dead People Voting
- 8 Ballot Mishandling
- 9 Statistical Analysis
- 10 Media Fraud

EveryLegalVote.com graphic

Truth #3: Foreign actors are interfering in our elections, and that is a national security risk.

You may have grown weary of the constant refrain by Democratic politicians and the mainstream media that Russia successfully hacked our elections for Trump. We now know there is no proof of that. But what can be proven is that foreign nations, including Russia, have attempted to influence our elections for decades—including 2016—and will continue to do so in the future. According to U.S. intelligence agencies, in 2020 they [upped their game](#) despite almost knee-jerk attempts to deny it based on political bias.

In the fog of fraud accusations, it is difficult to decipher just what role foreign powers had in the election and the impact on the outcome. There are [credible allegations](#) of Chinese involvement in voter registration drives. Keep in mind that any foreign involvement in elections is illegal under federal law. Beyond that, there are serious [discussions](#) regarding whether the Chinese produced fake ballots used for fraud, whether Russia or Iran attempted electronic intrusions into the electoral process, and the impact of foreign funding on our voting equipment companies.

Truth #4: Our electronic voting machines are vulnerable, but most are afraid to admit it.

Former Director of the Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency Chris Krebs put out a very questionable early [statement](#), reportedly written (in part) by the voting machine companies, that declared the 2020 election was “the most secure in American history.”

That seems very strange given the evidence of irregularities compiled and presented in hearings across the country. It is even harder to swallow given the bipartisan warnings of electronic equipment vulnerabilities. I covered these extensively on my BlazeTV show (Economic War Room with Kevin Freeman) well before the 2020 vote but was by no means alone. HBO featured a tremendous documentary in March 2020, *Kill Chain*, on how easily hackers can breach U.S. voting machines. PBS ran a [special](#) in June 2020 titled “In Georgia, primary election chaos highlights a voting system deeply flawed.” MSNBC [reported](#) in 2019 how easy it is to hack Dominion and other voting machines. There have been many other press reports [some examples [HERE](#), [HERE](#) and [HERE](#)] on how easily voting machines can be compromised.

Democrat Senators led by Elizabeth Warren, were so concerned about the vulnerability of U.S. voting machines being hacked during the 2020 elections that they issued a formal [complaint](#) letter in December 2019.

A frequent claim by election officials is that voting equipment is “air gapped” and not connected to the internet. This is misleading, as the *Kill Chain* documentary demonstrated with overwhelming evidence. In fact, some (albeit disputed) reports [contend](#) that Dominion may have been communicating with overseas servers on Election Day.

Those who have attempted to debunk concerns about Dominion Systems, for example, make claims such as those by voting technology expert [Edward Perez](#) in the *New York Times* on November 11:

I'm not aware of any evidence of specific things or defects in Dominion software that would lead one to believe that votes had been recorded or counted incorrectly.

Perez apparently missed the 2018 reporting from the same newspaper when the *Times's* [website](#) posted a video of a Michigan professor hacking voting software and clearly showed their defects and risks. Any reasonable person can look at the method used to tabulate votes and recognize inherent flaws in the systems. The state of Texas did, which is why in January 2020 it [rejected](#) the use of Dominion Voting Systems products due to inefficiency and unreliability.

You don't have to drill down into the history of the Dominion voting machines, the manuals that demonstrate how to switch votes as a "feature" and foreign connections. You just have to accept what others were saying about the vulnerabilities before the 2020 count was awarded to Biden. Beyond that, however, it should be important to look into [claims](#) that Dominion employees carried a personal political bias against President Trump. Do we really want those controlling our election to be blatantly biased?

The claim that a full recount rules out the notion of machine contamination, unfortunately, does not offer reassurance. *Kill Chain* warned Georgia officials in advance that any recount using Dominion Voting Systems equipment and software would be automatically tainted. In addition, there are [reports](#) that the firm used to conduct audits of Dominion has audited it before.

Truth #5: What happened in six states was not normal or acceptable.

We have testimonies, affidavits, and video evidence of massive irregularities in the contested states of Pennsylvania, Georgia, Michigan, Wisconsin, Arizona, and Nevada. There are reports provided under penalty of perjury pertaining to the ejection of witnesses or requiring extreme distances from the count, failure to verify signatures, and vote-counting rule changes. Workers were told to go home, reportedly due to a burst pipe (that may have been just a clogged toilet). Security footage shows ballots pulled from suitcases hidden underneath tables—after workers were dismissed—that reportedly coincides with ballot otherwise eliminate data from the election even as the outcome is contested.

It is unacceptable that witnesses to this activity have been threatened and intimidated. Yet that has been happening. If there was no fraud, why? We have a responsibility to protect our election but also to protect whistleblowers who warn us of bad behavior.

Truth #6: The science of statistics reveals unusual patterns that should be investigated.

When examining elections, it is good practice to look at the data to see if there are any unusual statistical patterns, as explained in a September 2012 National Academy of Sciences [report](#). This is what we have done in reviewing foreign elections. But for some reason, there seems to be virtually no curiosity whatsoever from the mainstream media regarding this most unusual election.

For example, the claim is that Biden won more votes than any American presidential candidate ever before. Yet he won the fewest counties of any previous winner. When you delve into the data, there are some unexpected patterns that raise serious statistical questions. This is the same sort of analysis that is used to find fraud in other industries. Jay Valentine, who built the eBay fraud detection system, for example, has serious issues with the 2020 election data stream, which he [suggests](#) indicates industrial-grade fraud.

Truth #7: Mail-in ballots have long been recognized as a fraud risk—and with good reason.

Mail-in ballots can be legitimate, but they require generally accepted safeguards. Unfortunately, those safeguards were violated repeatedly in the 2020 election in critical locations. For example, it is crucial to have clean voter rolls and not send out ballots indiscriminately. That was not the case in this election. The evidence is overwhelming that huge numbers of unrequested ballots were sent to deceased individuals or wrong addresses, with multiple copies sent to the same person in multiple locations. According to a Heritage Foundation [analysis](#), once ballots arrive at a home, the voter may be subject to intense coercion. Then, when the 2020 ballots were returned, many voting locations failed to match signatures or even check the postmark date to verify if the ballot was legally cast in the time provided. The safeguards were sadly ignored. This is consistent with the findings of a 2005 commission led by former President Jimmy Carter and former Secretary of State James Baker that [concluded](#) “absentee ballots remain the largest source of potential voter fraud.”

Truth #8: Preventing election fraud is a civil rights issue.

There’s a reason that the 1871 “Ku Klux Klan Act” dealt with conspiracies to defraud voter rights. This is because free and fair elections are directly tied to civil rights. Candidates vie to maximize their share of the minority vote, and President Trump had a strong showing with some of the best numbers for a Republican in 60 years. If fraud were permanently introduced, however, it would greatly reduce the perceived value of minority voters, diminishing their future clout. Thus, it is imperative that we have clean elections as a matter of civil rights.

Truth #9: The mainstream media and social media manipulated election results by censoring political discourse.

Whether or not legal, the actions taken by both the mainstream media and social media companies to censor political debate is nothing short of despicable. This one-sided bias was

evident in media coverage that clearly was anti-Trump. The Media Research Center (MRC) published a [study](#) after the election that showed that many Biden voters (in numbers large enough to have swung the election) would have voted differently if they had been exposed to a more equitable media landscape. As just one example from MRC, had there not been a near-total blackout on the Hunter Biden laptop story and other censored news, 17% of Biden voters nationwide would have switched to Trump—more than enough to swing the election.

The social media impact was even more profound. [Research](#) by Dr. Robert Epstein, a senior research psychologist at the American Institute for Behavioral Research and Technology, claimed that search engine manipulation likely swung millions of votes from Trump to Biden. This occurred because most people who use Google for information assume they are getting unbiased results. Epstein claimed that, by changing the ranking of search results, Google could alter political choices, suggesting that Google acts less like an impartial utility and more like a political action committee.

The bias has seemed even more blatant after the election, when Facebook and Twitter banned pro-Trump political speech, hiding behind biased fact checkers. Conservative commentator Candace Owens's recent legal victory against fact checker PolitiFact [illustrates](#) this point.

Truth #10: It is acceptable to question election results. In fact, it's a necessity to maintain free and fair elections.

Despite the mass effort to challenge and silence anyone who questions election results, a willingness to question election outcomes is an important part of our democratic process. If we ever blindly accept election results without question, we will be lost. In the 2000 election, Vice President Al Gore fought the stated results all the way to the Supreme Court, finally conceding on December 13 only after the final court ruling. That was his right. You can't dismiss a candidate's right to use every legal means to contest an election. That's an important safeguard. Likewise, Americans have a free speech right to express their opinion about an election. Hillary Clinton and her supporters were certainly vocal and not fact-checked into acquiescent silence about her belief that Russian collusion stole the 2016 election for Trump—even after a lengthy investigation proved otherwise. They had that free speech right to their opinion. It therefore should be acceptable to question the results of this most unusual 2020 election.

The Bottom Line: Nations that allow mass corruption in elections are at risk of permanently losing all liberties.

This is sad but self-evident. The risk of fraudulent elections is simply too great to “look the other way,” even once. It is a matter of national security. There should therefore be no rush to the expedient, “politically correct,” and patently false conclusion that there was not enough voter fraud in the 2020 election to affect the final results. Rather, we owe it to ourselves and our children to properly investigate and root out the fraud.